

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/846,317	05/02/2001	Takehiro Shiomoto	925-193	4714
759	02/12/2003			
NIXON-&-V-ANDERHYE P.C			EXAMINER	
1100 North Gleb Arlington, VA	pe Road, 8th Floor 22201-4714		NGUYEN, TUAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W
	09/846,317	SHIOMOTO, TAK	KEHIRO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan M Nguyen	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimatutory period will apply and will expire SIX will, by statute, cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed uum of thirty (30) days will be considered time X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 25 November 2002.		
,	2b)☐ This action is non-fina	al.	
Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	n for allowance except for forn	mal matters, prosecution as to the	ne merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from considerat	ion.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		ρ_{-}	D
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		Jane,	P
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATEN) IT EVASSIAIED
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirem	ent. TECHNOLOGY CEN	ITER 2800
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected	I to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any obj			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction file			ner.
If approved, corrected drawings are re		n.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have been receiv	ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receiv	ed in Application No	
	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17		Stage
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	·		al application).
a) The translation of the foreign lar	nguage provisional application	n has been received.	•
Attachment(s)	or domocro priority under 00	J.J.J. 33 120 MIM/OF 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) 5) 🗌 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/846,317 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5-7 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato et al (US patent 4,901,325). See the reasons as indicated in the Office Action mailed on 8-30-02 in paper No. 4, for the claims 1, 3 and 5-7.

With respect to claims 8-11, Kato et al shows in figure 5 a stem including a mounting surface, a first semiconductor laser chip (44) mounted on surface, a second semiconductor laser (43) mounted on the top of the first semiconductor. Figure 5 shows lasers (43 and 44) which are operated at 780 nm and 830 nm. Figure 5 also shows a smaller laser is mounted on top of a larger laser same as shown in figure 4 of the invention. According to the operating range of 780 nm and 830 nm, the top laser temperature is lower than the bottom laser temperature. Note col. 2 line 50 to col. 11 line 65.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2828

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al ('325) in view of Fisli ('359). See the reasons as indicated in the Office Action mailed on 8-30-02 in paper No. 4.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al ('325) in view of Otsuka et al ('088). See the reasons as indicated in the Office Action mailed on 8-30-02 in paper No. 4.

Response to Argument

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/25/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On pages 6-7 applicant argues that Kato fails to teach or suggest a second semiconductor laser element disposed on top of said first semiconductor laser element, said second semiconductor laser element having a temperature dependence lower than the temperature dependence of first semiconductor laser element. It is disagreed because Kato et al discloses a second semiconductor laser element (43) disposed on top of said first semiconductor laser element (44) operating at different wavelength, see col. 11. Since each of the first/second semiconductor laser elements (43, 44) has a first/second temperature dependence respectively and the second temperature dependence is lower than the first temperature dependence as claimed because Kato meets all the claimed structure limitations as discussed above and the lasers are operating within the claimed ranges. The Fisli patent teaches or suggests the different

Application/Control Number: 09/846,317

Art Unit: 2828

operating ranges which is consider as a matter of intended use of Kato. The claims of the inventions do not provide any structure in order to define the structural difference for operating in the claims ranges. Applicant's argument is not persuasive. The claims are not patentable over Kato et al.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's discloses.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,317

Art Unit: 2828

Communication Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner-should-be-directed-to-Tuan-M-Nguyen-whose-telephone number is-(703) 306-0247.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Paul Ip SPE

Art unit 2828

TMN January 30, 2003